App. F. IX-4

X

1941.

File No. 239.4.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References

Serial No. 316.37-1-6.
" 316-37-1-4
" 239.39-1-2
" 240.29-1-4.

Later References.

Serial No. 241-41-1.3.
" 363-41-1-2
" 331-42-1.2
" 221-43-1.4
" 57-46-1-2

Serial No. 46-49-1-2.
" 70-50-1-2
" 244-50-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dep. No. 183/53-54.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for April 1941.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scale of Income and expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Srirangapatnam.

G.O. No. 209-7 dt 13.2.41
from Sri Commissioner
Endowments
Mysore.
to Government.

WD 0573—GPB—22,500—12-9-39

Mysore
9.4.41

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mung

NOTES. File No. 2.3

FILE HEADING.

Railways [illegible]
of [illegible]

FROM

No. [illegible] Dated [illegible] Received [illegible]

Diary No. 1908	Encls.	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

[illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

for 1) The Scale of Income and Expenditure of the Temple was last sanctioned in the year 1922, providing an income of Rs 9,936 and an expenditure of Rs 9581. In June 1936 the Mangrai Committee submitted proposals for the revision of this Scale, providing an income of Rs 10,284-12-0 and an expenditure of Rs 9250-12-0 with a reserve of Rs 1034. These proposals were sanctioned by Govt in November 1938. Subsequently

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 077 —GPB—5,000—11-9-40

Srirangapatna-temple lease — Revision

File No. 234 of 1940. General Secretariat

The Mysore Commissioner requested that for reasons stated by him in his letter dated 11th January 1939, the Revised (new) Scale sanctioned may be kept in abeyance, pending sanction to his further Revised proposals to be submitted in due course. This proposal was approved by Government in January 1939. R.

The Mysore Commissioner has accordingly submitted modified proposals for revision of the Scale of the said Temple, providing for an Income of Rs 10,258-4-0 (10,254-12-0) an Expenditure of Rs 9651-8-0 (9250-12-0) and a Result of Rs 606-12-0 (1034-0-0).

The chief features of the present modified proposals are dealt with as follows:—

(1) It is stated that the pay of all the Staff is retained as what it was in the old Scale sanctioned in 1922, with a view to obviate complaints from them that the revision deprives them of their meagre meal. But this statement is not fully correct, as is seen from the tabular statement of the Revised Scale submitted by the Mysore Commissioner. In respect of the two offices noted below he has proposed substantial reduction of the Salaries attached to them and he has retained the existing

point to be noted

Serial No.

pay.

(1) Serial No 25 Veda upanishad paragana from Rs 6 to 3
(2) " 29 Stotra and " " " 5" to 2"

How far the above reductions will not affect the interests of the incumbents of the offices and whether the reduced scales may be sanctioned is a point for consideration.

(2) The pay of the peshkar is proposed to be fixed at Rs 40-2-50 and this scale has no remarks as it was already sanctioned in 1938.

(3) The post of paraphernalia carrying a pay of Rs 16 according to the scale of 1922 and Rs 12/- according to the scale of 1938 is proposed to be abolished, as the place is vacant. As there is a peshkar in charge of the Temple affairs, this proposal may be approved perhaps.

point for orders.

(4) As there is no incumbent for Item No 21 Adiga and Sripada on pay Rs 10", this post is proposed to be abolished, and Sripada separated. The post of "Lamp-lighting and Masheel" - Item No 44 - pay Rs 6-8-0 " is also proposed to be abolished. Both these proposals may be approved perhaps, and as to the abolition of the posts Kalleripaya (Item 66), paschimabhaga Anjaneya (Item 67), Nitya Dana (Item 68) involving an expenditure of Rs 3-8-0 per mensem also

point for orders.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Maha Nuwara Temple Feasts — Relations —

File No. 239 of 1940. General and Secretariat

Serial No.

(5) Item No 6. Chief Parapatheyar - Rs 12/-

The former name of this was "Bellikola and Manigarakaraya" a pay of Rs 6 p.m. This was changed and designated as Chief Parapatheyar on the same pay and with the same duties under Gov. dated 8.10.1930. It is now proposed to ask the incumbent of this office to look after the duties of the parapatheyar and to fix his pay at Rs 12 p.m. i.e Rs 6/ pay of chief parapatheyar and Rs 6/- allowance for parapatheyar duties. As stated above, the proposal to abolish the post of the parapatheyar indicates that there is no necessity for any one to perform the duties of this office. Further, there are the Magedi Gurunnanse and Kiriwi Gurunnanse who are getting a higher pay of Rs 10/- each p.m. than the chief parapatheyar whose pay is only Rs 6 p.m. If any necessity is felt for the post it is a point for consideration why the allowance of Rs 6/- p.m. on account of parapatheyar duties, should not be reduced and the reduced allowance given to the Lower Officials of the Temple referred to above, especially with a view to obviate any discontentment among such Officials, on this account. Reference is also invited to the Note of the Deputy

Seemapatam Temple Posts — Fields.

Serial No.

Commissioner (Mr B. S. Raghavendrachar) suggesting that the two posts of Nagadi Gumasta and Parapathegar may be combined and that the Nagadi Gumasta may be given an enhanced salary of Rs 15 p.m.

The two petitions received from M.V. Brahmadhara, the present incumbent of the post of Chickaparapathegar, who is proposed to be given a higher salary of Rs 12/per, for looking after the duties of the parapathegar also, are put up for perusal.

(6) Item No 11, Parichaark, Swami Seemidhi

The pay of this post is Rs 8/. according to the scale sanctioned in the year 1922. This was reduced to Rs 5-80 in the revised scale sanctioned in the year 1938, now held in abeyance. In para 6 of the Nagpai Commissioner's letter under disposal, it is stated that this pay has been raised to Rs 12 p.m in accordance with the previous orders of the Nagpai Commissioner's office in May 1930. When the pay of this parichaark was fixed at Rs 8/pm in the Govt order of 1922, the order of the Nagpai Commissioner passed in May 1930, raising this pay to Rs 12/per, was in modification of the orders passed by Govt in 1922 and it ought to have been submitted for the approval of Govt, to alter the scale of pay from Rs 8 to Rs 12/. No such sanction was obtained in this behalf. Further, when the scale

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Sahasra Temple Case — Rebuilding

File No. 239 of 1940. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

(6) This temple was proposed to be rebuilt during 1937-38 the Mirasi Commissioner referred to in his letter of May 1930 and did not suggest, then as he has done now, that this scale of Rs 87- should be raised to Rs 121. A copy of the order dated 9.5.1930 referred to by the Mirasi Commissioner is not available in the records perhaps below one there is nothing to show that such proposal was sanctioned by Govt. It is therefore a point for consideration whether the proposal to raise the pay of this Pandaram to Rs 12 per annum may be approved, without calling for further particulars. The figure mentioned in the column "proposed pay" of the Revised Scale is stated to be 87- which is a mistake and it should be Rs 121- according to the proposal of the Mirasi Commissioner in this behalf.

point for orders

(7) Increases of pay are proposed in the cases noted below.

		From	To
Item 24.	Tulasi and Thilumala	Rs 8	Rs 9
"	35(a) Purohita	" 2	" 5
"	36-40 Sripada	" 2½	" 3 each
"	45. Gollu + Mashal	" 6½	" 7
"	46 Kahal + Mashal	" 6½	" 7
"	58-59 Jadamali + Kambalapatti	" 4	" 5 each

No reasons are given for the above

Puttam Temple Estate — Revision

Serial No.

increases, especially in regard to the enhancements of the pay of purohit from Rs 2 to Rs 5/- in (8) Item 72: clerk for issuing coconut chits

This is the only additional item proposed to be included in the Revised Scale as it is stated to be necessary. This may perhaps be allowed to stand.

(5) The total cost of the establishment sanctioned in the year 1922 was Rs 415 per mensem, while that of the revised Chola inam agency in 1938 was Rs 359/- per mensem and the cost of the present modified establishment comes to Rs 413¼ per mensem or Rs 4959 i.e. practically what it was in the year 1922.

If the proposals as detailed above, are sanctioned, the Receipts amount will be only Rs 606-10-9 which will not be adequate to meet the expenses of repairs of the temple amounting to Rs 990 sanctioned in the Govt order dated 2nd May 1940. The Manager Comm has stated that these expenses, will be taken from the Receipts, not of the allotment for Construction and Repairs of Mangani Tank and during the current year.

X P — Rev 7379-21-a reading to 29.1.41. to Govt order of 1922 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure for the Subdn. &

X K — Rev 280-29-4 containing G.O. No 785-90 dt 10/30 dept to in para 5 of the R.O. Draft Memo Approved up for orders

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Misc. File No. 239 of 194 0. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Re: the proposal of the M.C. for enhancing the pay of the Swami Sannidhi Paricharak (Parah of the P.U.D), Kind ref^ce is invited to M.C's letter No. A. Dis 25-9/29-30 of 9/5/30 to the D.C. wherein the latter was requested to submit proposals of a revised Scale so as to allow Rs 12/- to Mr Rajagopalan, the Swami Sannidhi Paricharak - Whether this can be taken as M.C's order fixing the pay of the functional as paricharak at Rs 12/- as stated in para 4 of the P.U.D to a Matter for consideration. The D.C. did not (submit revised proposals for nearly seven years, & the pay of this Land was even reduced to as 5/8 as per scale of 1928 which was held in abeyance till it is noted that there are no good grounds to enhance the same to Rs 12/- & it may be retained as at present Rs (Rs 8/-) as found in the Statement received with the P.U.D. × 1 × L

The records received from the M.C. contain a list of Officials working in the temple vide Para 6 of the list made by the T.K Amildar). The name of Mr M.V. Anandavadivelu from whom the arrear fees have been received (Rs 1063, 11 as & 11 ps) does not appear in the said list. He says he is the Karavandar of Chickparapatta Post & subsequently are the B.S Srinivasa Dykshis working in the place. C

Serial No.

In ref 1930 Mr. Anandalwar's request for a change of the name of the post viz from Manegar & Bellikeri to Chickpanpathegar has conceded, his duties & remuneration remaining the same. The proposals both the D.C. & the H.C. for an enhanced pay to the above do not appear to be in order. Mr. Anandalwar is no longer known as Manegar Bellikeri man & his Chickpanpathegar as per order 1930. The post of Bellikeri to held by another gentleman Mr. Kadambi Lakshmi Narasimhachar the has to get the allowance of Rs 5/- & saved & do the duties of Panpathegar & as the D.C. proposes that the allowance may be added on to the pay of Bellikeri Manica who may be designated as Panpathegar & took after the duties of Panpathegar. No good reasons have been assigned by both the D.C. & the H.C. to create this new appointment which I recommend as per modified proposals.

The post of Panpathegar is an important one & duties like this is entrusted to responsible

Either the vacant place here be filled up or retrenched once for all, & it is submitted that the

NOTE-Contn. Sheet.

(15) 1135

File No. 239 of 1940

Serial No.

Proposal to entrust the duties of Paanbakegum to the incumbent holding the Post of Boliyadhuge does not commend itself. ~~Mr. Wall & Mr. Cook~~ G.A. although it is intended for giving some allowance 31/1 to the post, the basis whereon it is Mr. Mc. Arundalwar - with these modifications G.A. 31/1 the proposals may be agreed - for orders —

The proposals seem to be generally desirable and may be sanctioned. The following suggestions are submitted for consideration

(1) The pay of Paanbakegum is Rs. 8, which is proposed to be revised to Rs 12 (Item 1) although in the Establishment the proposed pay has been shown as Rs. 8, only. As the other Paanbakegum gets only Rs. 8/-, the pay of this post may also be shown as Rs. 8 only.

(2) Item No. 6 which is a Boliyadhuge the existing pay is Rs 6 which is proposed to be revised to Rs 12/-. When the designation was changed in 1930, there was a idea of increasing the pay, but in fact it was understood that the pay was not to

S. Pakkumtemple Estate — Petition

(11)

General and Revenue Secretariat | My File No. 2 39 of 1940. | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

revised. No definite instructions have been issued to the viceroy. The Dy. Com has now recommended that the rent may be reduced to Rs 2/11/- which the Petitioner has proposed that the rent may be reduced to Rs 1/2/-. Considering that the respondent appears to be financially embarrassed Rs 10/- this application may be fixed at Rs 10/-

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 1 FEB. 1941 812

Sd/ 1/2/1941

2nd Member) 10 1/2/41

Office of the SECOND MEMBER OF GOVT 3 FEB 1941 No. 7549

32

3/2/41

(12) A chabe. Gpv. Sanads is produced

TYPING 11 FEB. 1941

10/2/41 GS 11/2

2095-7 dated 13.2 The Hon'ble

Dr 28/2/41

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

28/2/41

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretaria

Serial No.	

Annexure I

No. C. ...P.C.327/38-39 Encls three & one file.

Encl.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...25th December..... *1940.*

239-40-I 592

From

RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARI 6 JAN. 1941 1008 MYS BRANCH

To

J. APPAJI GOWDA, ESQ., B.A. (Hons.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRET. 6 JAN. 19

Sir,

Revision of the Scale of Income and Expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam.

---:o:---

Adverting to Government Order No.1231-3/Muz.316-37-6 dated 30th January 1939 approving this Office proposal to keep in abeyance the revised Scale of Income and Expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam, sanctioned in Government Order No.662-63/Muz.316-37-4 dated 3rd November 1938, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.H.46/39-40 dated 1st October 1940 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, submitting modified proposals for the revision of the Scale of pay of the Temple Servants, and to state as follows:-

2) In the modified proposals now submitted, the pay of all the staff is retained as what it was in the old scale sanctioned in 1922, with a view to obviate complaints from them that the revision deprived them of their meagre meal. While agreeing with the proposals of the Deputy Commissioner, in general, the following modifications are suggested for the sanction of Government.

3) It is proposed to fix the pay of the Peshkar in Grade 25-1-30-40 with an allowance of Rs.10/- a month, instead of at Rs.40-2-50 already fixed in the revised Scale sanctioned in Government Order, dated 3rd November 1938. Even according to present modified proposal submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, the total monthly cost (average for 25-1-30-1-40, will be Rs.36-4-0 plus allowance of Rs.10/- cost

to Rs.40-4-0) will roughly come to the same amount (i.e., Rs.47-8-0 being the average cost in 40-2-50 grade). In view of the fact that the provision for appointing a person in grade 40-2-50 would enable experienced and Senior Officials being posted to this responsible work, I am of opinion that the grade pay of the Peshkar may remain at Rs.40-2-50 as already sanctioned.

4) In the modified proposals the pay of the Swami Sannidhi Paricharak has been raised to Rs.12/- in accordance with the previous orders of this Office in No.Jl.Dis.259/29-30 dated 9th May 1930, and this may therefore be approved.

5) The Deputy Commissioner proposes that an allowance of Rs.5/- may be given to the person who is having the post of Bellikolu and aniya which carries a pay of Rs.6/- per mensem and his post designated as parpathegar, as there is not incumbent in the post of Parpathegar at present. Government in their Order dated 8th October 1930 changed the designation of the post of M.V.Anandalwar from aniyagar and Bellikolu to Chickparapathegar, his duties and remuneration being the same. As he has to look after the duties of the arapathegar, the pay may be fixed at Rs.12/- per mensem.

6) The Deputy Commissioner has omitted the provision of Rs.5/- sanctioned towards the pay of the clerk issuing cocoanut chits. This appears necessary and may be included.

7) The provision of Rs.40/- for Brindavanotsava may be omitted, as it is reported that the same is conducted by private sevarthadars (for which certain vritties are endowed).

8) The provision of Rs.15/- towards the T.A. of Peshkar has been omitted as the Peshkar has no specified touring work.

9) A peon to Peshkar's Office on Rs.5/- per mensem is considered unnecessary and hence this has been omitted.

10) The percentage contribution on the total income of Rs.10,258/- towards Huzrai Establishment Fund comes to Rs.513/- and this figure may therefore be adopted.

11) In the result the total charges under Establishment amount to Rs.413-4-0 a month or Rs.4,959/- a year as against a provision of Rs.376-8-0 a month or Rs.4,518-0-0 a year, sanctioned in the revised scale of 1938. Against this increased expenditure of Rs.441/-, a sum of Rs.55/- is

of Rs.55/- is proposed to be brought under Savings by omitting Brindavanotsava (Rs.40/-) and T.A. to Peshkar (Rs.15/-). By the reduction of Rs.2/- under Muzrai Establishment Fund, the total savings will be Rs.57/- and the net excess expenditure over the sanctioned scale of 1938 will be Rs.384/- (Rs.441 - 57 = 384). The reserve fund of Rs.990-10-9 provided in the scale of 1938 will therefore be reduced to Rs.606-10-9.

12) I may state in this connection that as per Government Order No.2286-9/Muz.288-39-2 dated 2nd May 1940, a sum of Rs.990/- has to be met during the current year from the Reserve provided in the Scale towards the cost of repairs to the Temple. The balance, over the sum of Rs.606-10-9 to make up this amount, which will be about Rs.384 will be met from the reserve amt of the allotment under State and General Muzrai Fund Grant of the current year.

13) I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the modified proposals in the matter.

14) The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under reference are herewith submitted for favour of reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

BRN.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 1008

Section. Mug

File No. 239-40

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 13-2-1941

Signed by ..... Asst. S. K. V.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ? Yes (2)

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Read— G.V. No 662-63 / Mug 316-37-6 dated 2.11.1938 sanctioning a revised Scale of Income and Expenditure of Sri Ranganatha Swami Temple at Seringapatam

G.O. No 1231-2 / Mug 316-37-6 dated 30-1-1939 ~~appointing the Secretary and the Mysore Orders thereon. No. Commissioner in office~~ ~~to preside in absence~~ directing that the revised scale sanctioned in the G.O. dated be acted in abeyance and ~~pending sanction~~ ~~to the proposals to be submitted~~ by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District in the matter

(3) Letter No P.C 327/35-39
dated 25.12.1960 from the Mayuram
Commissioner in Mysore, submitting
for sanction, modified proposals
proposals for the selection of the
scale of pay of the Archaka and
Establishment of the Sri Ranganatha
Swami Temple at Srirangapatna
(printed as an annexure)
orders Rec 2095.7.

The proposals submitted by the
the Mayuram Commissioner are generally
suitable, and Government are
pleased to sanction the same
subject to the following modifi-
cations: —

List of Enclosure

(1) The pay of the pancharak of
Chowri Sannidhi (item No 11 of
the statement appended) ~~to the~~
order is proposed to be
raised to Rs 12/- p.m. ~~as~~ the
maximum ~~of~~ admissible
~~to the other pancharak~~
~~for only Rs 8/- p.m. The pay~~
of this post is also fixed

Copied by
Examined by

upon the same principle
as are proposed in this case
the pay of the other pancharaks

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.

will be removed from 6 h
on 10.8 m. ~~marked~~ on
afternoon of 12th proposed.

at Rs 8/50 and the Railway Rs 6/50
per year ~~there~~ should also be added to the Pension
Fund of the Temple.
(2) The existing pay of Chief
Parapathegar (item No 6 of the
Statement appended) is
Rs 6/50 and this is proposed
to be raised to Rs 12/50 per.
When the designation ~~has~~
~~been changed~~ of the
Manegar and Bellikolu
in the Temple was changed
Changed to Chief Parapathegar
~~with~~ his duties and remu-
neration remaining as before
(vide Govt. No 788-90/Mug 250.29
- 4 dated 8.10.1930) there was
no idea of increasing the
Senior pay, that post, and ~~in~~
~~fact~~ it was understood that
the pay would not be raised,
no definite supernumerary
functions have ~~not~~ been
assigned to the incumbent.
The Deputy Commissioner in the
Memorandum has recommended

the grant of an allowance of Rs 5/pm increasing his pay to Rs 11/pm while the Mangrol Commissioner has proposed that the pay was increased to Rs 12/pm. Considering that the Mapedi Gunwants and the Junior Gunwants get only Rs 10/pm each the Superintendent are pleased to direct that the pay of the Mapedi be also fixed at Rs 11/pm and the Salary of Rs 24/pm thereby effected be added on to the Records of the temple fund

Vo 10/12.

Asst Secretary

10/12

To

The Mangrol Commissioner with records

Compliance

Secretary

prmd & to Govt.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No.662-63/Muz.316-37-4 dated 3rd November 1938 sanctioning a revised scale of income and expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam.

2. Government Order No.1231-3/Muz.316-37-6 dated 30th January 1939 directing that the revised scale sanctioned in the ~~xx~~ Government Order read above be kept in abeyance.

3. Letter No.P.C.327/38-39 dated 25th December 1940 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting for sanction, modified proposals for the revision of the scale of income and expenditure of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam (Printed as an annexure).

Order No. 2095-7/Emg 239-40-2

Dated, Bangalore the 13 February 1941.

The proposals submitted by the Muzrai Commissioner are generally suitable, and Government are pleased to sanction the same subject to the following modifications:- viz.,

1. The pay of the Paricharak of Swami Sannidhi (item No. 11 of the statement appended) whis is proposed to be raised from Rs.8/- to Rs.12/- p.m. may be retained at Rs.8/- p.m. and be in line with the pay of the other paricharaks.

2. The pay of Chick Parapathegar (item No.6 of the statement appended) will be raised from Rs.6/- to Rs.10/- p.m. as against Rs.12/- proposed.

sd/ T. Ahaji Gowda

General Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore (with records),
" Comptroller to Government,
" Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

pres copy

for Secl Secy to Govt

BSN/6.

Read:—

S O.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 2095-7—Muz 239-40-2, dated 13th February 1941

Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam.

Sanctions a revised scale of Income and Expenditure of the ——

READ—

Government Order No 662-63—Muz 316-37-4, dated 3rd November 1938 sanctioning a revised scale of income and expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam

2 Government Order No 1231-3—Muz 316 37-6, dated 30th January 1939, directing that the revised scale sanctioned in the Government Order read above be kept in abeyance

3 Letter No P C 327—38-39, dated 25th December 1940, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting for sanction modified proposals for the revision of the scale of income and expenditure of the Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam.

* (Printed as Annexure)

ORDER No. 2095-7—MUZ 239-40-2, DATED BANGALORE, THE 13TH FEBRUARY 1941.

The proposals submitted by the Muzrai Commissioner are generally suitable, and Government are pleased to sanction the same subject to the following modifications.—

1. The pay of the Paricharak of Swami Sannidhi (Item No. 11 of the statement appended) which is proposed to be raised from Rs 8 to Rs 12 per mensem may be retained at Rs. 8 per mensem so as to be in line with the pay of the other Paricharak.

2. The pay of Chick Paipathegar (Item No 6 of the statement appended) will be raised from Rs. 6 to Rs. 10 per mensem as against Rs 12 proposed.

J. APPAJI GOWDA,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore (with Records)
The Comptroller to Government
The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District.

Exd.—C K.

No. 2095-7—Muz. 239-40-2, dated 13th February 1941.

ANNEXURE I.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,

No. C. P. C. 327—38-39.

Bangalore, dated 25th December 1940.

From

Rukn-ul-Mulk
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

J. APPAJI GOWDA, Esq., B.A. (Hons.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Revision of the Scale of Income and Expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. 1231-3—Muz. 316-37-6, dated 30th January 1939, approving this office proposal to keep in abeyance the revised scale of income and expenditure of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Seringapatam, sanctioned in Government Order No. 662-63—Muz. 316-37-4, dated 3rd November 1938, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. H. 46—39-40, dated 1st October 1940, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, submitting modified proposals for the revision of the scale of pay of the temple servants, and to state as follows:—

(1) In the modified proposals now submitted, the pay of all the staff is retained as what it was in the old scale sanctioned in 1922 with a view to obviate complaints from them that the revision deprived them of their meagre meal. While agreeing with the proposals of the Deputy Commissioner, in general, the following modifications are suggested for the sanction of Government.

(2) It is proposed to fix the pay of the Peshkar in grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40 with an allowance of Rs. 10 a month, instead of at Rs. 40—2—50 already fixed in the revised scale sanctioned in the Government Order dated 3rd November 1938. Even according to present modified proposal submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, the total monthly cost (average for Rs. 25—1—30—1—40 will be Rs. 36-4-0 plus allowance of Rs. 10 comes to Rs. 46-4-0) will roughly come to the same amount (*i.e.*, Rs. 47-8-0, being the average cost in Rs. 40—2—50 grade) In view of the fact that the provision for appointing a person in grade Rs. 40—2—50 would enable experienced and senior officials being posted to this responsible work, I am of opinion that the grade pay of the Peshkar may remain at Rs. 40—2—50 as already sanctioned.

(3) In the modified proposals, the pay of the Swami Sannidhi Paricharak has been raised to Rs. 12 in accordance with the previous orders of this office in No. D1. Dis. 259—29-30, dated 9th May 1930, and this may, therefore, be approved.

No. 2095-7—Muz. 239-40-2, dated 13th February 1941.

(4) The Deputy Commissioner proposes that an allowance of Rs. 5 may be given to the person who is having the post of Bellikolu and Maniya which carries a pay of Rs. 6 per mensem and his post designated as Parpathegar, as there is no incumbent in the post of Parpathegar at present. Government in their order dated 8th October 1930 changed the designation of the post of M. V. Anandalwar from Maniyagar and Bellikolu to Chick Parpathegar, his duties and remuneration being the same. As he has to look after the duties of the Parpathegar, the pay may be fixed at Rs. 12 per mensem.

(5) The Deputy Commissioner has omitted the provision of Rs. 5 sanctioned towards the pay of the clerk issuing cocoanut chits. This appears necessary and may be included.

(6) The provision of Rs. 40 for Brindavanotsava may be omitted, as it is reported that the same is conducted by private sevarthadars (for which certain vrittis are endowed).

(7) The provision of Rs. 15 towards the travelling allowance of the Peshkhar has been omitted as the Peshkar has no specified touring work.

(8) A peon to Peshkar's Office on Rs. 5 per mensem is considered unnecessary and hence this has been omitted.

(9) The percentage contribution on the total income of Rs. 10,258 towards Muzrai Establishment Fund comes to Rs. 513 and this figure may, therefore, be adopted.

2. In the result the total charges under Establishment amount to Rs. 413-4-0 a month or Rs. 4,959 a year as against a provision of Rs. 376-8-0 a month or Rs. 4,518-0-0 a year, sanctioned in the revised scale of 1938. Against this increased expenditure of Rs. 441, a sum of Rs. 55 is proposed to be brought under savings by omitting Brindavanotsava (Rs. 40) and travelling allowance to Peshkar (Rs. 15). By the reduction of Rs. 2 under Muzrai Establishment Fund, the total savings will be Rs. 57 and the net excess expenditure over the sanctioned scale of 1938 will be Rs. 384 (Rs. 441—57=384). The reserve fund of Rs. 990-10-9 provided in the scale of 1938 will, therefore, be reduced to Rs. 606-10-9.

3. I may state in this connection that as per Government Order No. 2286-9—Muz. 288-39-2, dated 2nd May 1940, a sum of Rs. 990 has to be met during the current year from the reserve provided in the scale towards the cost of repairs to the Temple. The balance, over the sum of Rs. 606-10-9 to make up this amount, which will be about Rs. 385, will be met from the reserve out of the allotment under State and General Muzrai Fund Grant of the current year.

4. I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the modified proposals in the matter.

5. The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter under reference are herewith submitted for favour of reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S. ABDUL WAJID,

Muzrai Commissioner.

No. 2095-7—Muz. 239-40-2, dated 13th February 1941.

ANNEXURE II.

Revised scale of Income and Expenditure of the Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple and suthlugudies at Seringapatam.

Income.

Sl. No	Items of Income	As per scale of 1922	As per scale kept in abeyance	As per sanctioned scale	Remarks
		Rs a. p.	Rs a p	Rs. a. p.	
1	Cash Grant —				
	(a) Sri Ranganathaswami Temple ...	7,852 8 0	7,852 8 0	7,852 8 0	
	(b) Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple .	174 4 0	174 4 0	174 4 0	
	(c) Sri Venkataramanaswamy Temple ...	95 15 0	95 15 0	95 15 0	
	(d) Sri Gajendravarada Temple ..	28 13 0	28 13 0	28 13 0	
	(e) Sri Valagudi Venkataramanaswamy Temple	28 13 0	28 13 0	28 13 0	
	Total ..	8,180 5 0	8,180 5 0	8,180 5 0	
2	Interest on Investments ..	148 0 0	146 0 0	146 0 0	
3	Ramanujakoota Contribution .	534 0 0	.	..	Contribution from Chattram Savings Fund discontinued.
4	Income from Puduvat Endowment	895 0 0	701 11 0	701 11 0	As per the list in the printed scale
5	Income from lands at Khura ...	17 8 0	6 0 0	6 0 0	
6	Sevartha Fees . ..	...	360 0 0	360 0 0	
7	Golka	162 4 0	130 0 0	130 0 0	
8	Cocoanuts Fees	..	368 0 0	368 0 0	
9	Sale of Amarai ...	...	10 0 0	10 0 0	
10	Contribution from Sri Pete Narayanaswami Temple towards pay and pensionary contribution of the Peshkar	...	356 4 0	356 4 0	
	Grand Total	9,937 1 0	10,258 4 0	10,258 4 0	

Expenditure.

Sl. No.	Items of Expenditure	As per scale of 1922	As per scale kept in abeyance	As per sanctioned scale	Remarks
		Rs. a. p.	Rs a p	Rs. a p.	
1	Establishment	4,980 0 0	4,518 0 0	4,887 0 0	
	Nithyakatle	2,904 0 0	2,286 0 0	2,286 0 0	
3	Hetchukatle ..	1,088 0 0	694 0 0	554 0 0	
	Puduvat Sevas .	629 0 0	617 2 0	617 2 0	As per list in the printed scale.
	Miscellaneous—				
	(a) Kandayam .		120 0 0	120 0 0	
	(b) Purchase of cloths ..		100 0 0	100 0 0	
	(c) Printing charges .		60 0 0	60 0 0	
	(d) Travelling Allowance	355 0 0	15 0 0		
	(e) Percentage contribution		515 0 0	513 0 0	
	(f) Pensionary contribution ..		142 8 0	142 8 0	At Rs. 11-14 0 per mensem.
	Reserve .	...	990 10 9	678 12 9	
	Grand Total .	9,956 0 0	10,258 4 9	10,258 4 9	

No. 2095-7—Muz. 239-40-2, dated 13th February 1941.

Statement of establishment sanctioned for the Sree Ranganathaswami Temple, Seringapatam, in the Revised Scale.

Sl. No.	Name of Office	Present salary as per scale of 1922			Modified pay as per scale of 1938			Sanctioned pay			Remarks
		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs	a.	p	Rs.	a.	p.	
1	Peshkar	33	12	0	47	8	0	47	8	0	(in grade Rs. 40-2-50).
2	Nagadi Gumasta . ..	10	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	
3	Parpathegar (Vacant)	14	0	0	12	0	0				Abolished
4	Dinsi Gumastha .	10	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	
5	Maniyagar and Bellikolu .	6	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	0	
6	Chick Parpathegar .. .	6	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	
7	Archak., Swamisannidhi	9	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	
8	Do	9	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	
9	Do	9	0	0	9	0	0	9	0	0	
10	Archak, Ammanavara Sannidhi	12	0	0	9	0	0	12	0	0	
11	Paricharak, Swami Sannidhi . ..	8	0	0	5	8	0	8	0	0	
12	Do Ammanavara Sannidhi and Sreepada and Gandha.	8	0	0	5	8	0	8	0	0	
13	Yagasala, Armane Paricharaka and Sripada ...	8	0	0	5	8	0	8	0	0	
14	Sthanik and Suttalagudi Paricharaka .	4	8	0	1	0	0	4	8	0	
15	Sthanik, Kannadi, Chatri and Suttalagudi Paricharaka	8	0	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	
16	Sthanik and Paricharaka of Bidugudies ...	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	
17	Do do . .	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	
18	Adige and Sripada .. .	10	0	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	
19	Do	10	0	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	
20	Do	10	0	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	
21	Do	10	0	0	..			..			No incumbent—The post is abolished. Sripada separated.
22	Sahasranama and Yagnopavita ..	8	0	0	6	0	0	8	0	0	
23	Gumbarati and Sripada .. .	5	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	
24	Tulasi and Thirumale ...	8	0	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	
25	Veda Upanishad Parayana ...	6	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
26	Prabhanda and Upanishad Parayana	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
27	Do do .	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
28	Stotra and Upanishad Parayana	5	0	0	2	8	0	5	0	0	
29	Do do	5	0	0	2	8	0	2	0	0	
30	Veda and Upanishad Parayana	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
31	Do	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
32	Do	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
33	Stotra (Suprabhata and Majjana) . ..	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
34	Do do	3	0	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
35	Veenagana	4	0	0	2	8	0	4	0	0	
35(a)	Purohita	2	0	0	2	8	0	5	0	0	
36	Sripada	2	8	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
37	Do	2	8	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
38	Do	2	8	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
39	Do .	2	8	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
40	Do	2	8	0	2	8	0	3	0	0	
41	Vinayaka Puja .. .	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	
42	Sannidhi Kaval and Belli Deevatige	6	8	0	4	0	0	6	8	0	
43	Ammanavaru do	6	8	0	4	0	0	6	8	0	
44	Lamp-lighting and Mashal .	6	8	0	4	0	0				Abolished.
45	Golla and Mashal	6	8	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	
46	Kaval and Mashal	6	8	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	
47	Bandikar and Mashal ..	6	8	0	5	8	0	6	8	0	
48	Kaval and peon	6	8	0		.		6	8	0	
49	Mohari	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	
50	Mohari	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	0	
51	Sruti	4	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	
52	Sruti	4	0	0		...		4	0	0	
53	Dholu	5	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	0	
54	Shanka and Chinnangale ...	5	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	
55	Davane	2	8	0	2	0	0	2	8	0	
56	Kasa Tala	2	8	0	2	0	0	2	8	0	
57	Jagate	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	
58	Jadamali and Kambalagitti	4	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	
59	Do	4	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	
60	Dhobi	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
61	Havaldar	8	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	
62	Sepoy	7	0	0	7	8	0	7	0	0	
63	Do	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	
64	Do	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	
65	Do	7	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	
66	Kaveri Puje	1	0	0		..			.		
67	Paschimavahini Anjaneya	1	0	0		...			.		
68	Nitya Dana	1	8	0							
69	Krishnadiddi Anjaneyapuja ...	1	0	0				1	0	0	
70	Prasanna Anjenaya Puja ...	1	4	0				1	4	0	
71	Peon to Peshkar's Office		.		5	0	0				
72	Clerk for issuing cocoanut chits ...		..		5	0	0	5	0	0	
73	Sripadas (4 in excess at Rs 2-8-0 each) ..		..		10	0	0		..		
74	One Majjanastotra, Suprabhata on Rs. 2-8-0 ...		..		2	8	0				
	Total ..	415	0	0	359	0	0	407	4	0	or Rs 4,887 per year

WD 1590—GPB—500—9-4-41